## Measuring Grounding Lines with QDInSAR

**A. Hogg**

# **Measuring Grounding Lines with QDInSAR** SAR Applications – many things, but not ice velocity!

**A. Hogg**

#### **Contents**

- 1. Importance of the grounding line
- 2. How is the grounding line changing today?
- 3. Techniques used to measure the grounding line
	- Break in surface slope
	- Detecting tidal displacement
- 4. The QDInSAR method
- 5. Other SAR applications
	- Calving front locations
	- Iceberg tracking
- 6. Summary and Future Outlook

Grounding line is boundary between ice, bedrock and the ocean



Cross-section of an ice sheet grounding zone showing the relative position of the grounding line (GL), the hinge line (HL) and the break in surface slope (Ib)



- Transitory feature that moves on:
	- short time periods, due to ocean tides, atmospheric pressure changes
	- **long time periods, due to ice thinning and dynamic imbalance**

Grounding lines are important because they:

(1) Mark the lateral extent of an ice sheet / ice stream



Grounding lines are important because they:

(1) Mark the lateral extent of an ice sheet / ice stream

(2) Are used to define flux gates for ice sheet mass budget estimates



Rignot et al., 2006

Grounding lines are important because they:

(1) Mark the lateral extent of an ice sheet / ice stream

(2) Are used to define flux gates for ice sheet mass budget estimates

(3) Detect ice sheet stability, advance, or retreat



Lowe and Anderson, 2009

Grounding lines are important because they:

(1) Mark the lateral extent of an ice sheet / ice stream

(2) Are used to define flux gates for ice sheet mass budget estimates

(3) Detect ice sheet stability, advance, or retreat

(4) Identify regions with ocean imbalance



Dutrieux et al., 2014

Grounding lines are important because th

- (1) Mark the lateral extent of an ice sheet / ice stream
- (2) Are used to define flux gates for ice sheet mass budget estimates
- (3) Detect ice sheet stability, advance, or retreat
- (4) Identify regions with ocean imbalance
- (5) Improve predictions of ice sheet evolution



Cornford et al., 2013

(5) Improve predictions of ice

 $\therefore$ . But not all ice sheet models accurately reproduce grounding line retreat. sheet evolution....

Response to the same climate forcing, simulated by three of the most sophisticated, independent ice sheet models:





Under different climate scenarios, the same ice sheet model forecasts different retreat rates. 

This is expected, but what's right?

Need observations of real change to improve confidence.

(Nias et al., 2016)

## Theoretical Instability-

E



#### **Contribution to Sea Level Rise**

#### IPCC 2013 scenario RCP8.5



#### **Contribution to Sea Level Rise**

# Predicting Future Global Change

#### Editerright at the Stop of State Change of The State Change of Test Antarctic Ice Loss Future West Antarctic Ice Loss Not spatially or temporally continuous



## $\overline{0.45 \pm 0.14}$  mm/yr SLR (Joughin et al, 2010)

#### **Satellite Observations of Change .**

Surface elevation change 1992 - 2010

#### Ice velocity speed-up  $1994 - 2010$



## **Observations of Grounding Line Retreat**

#### Individual ice streams - Pine Island Glacier





Grounding line retreat correlated with ice thinning

(Park et al., 2013)

## **Observations of Grounding Line Retreat**

#### Individual ice streams - Pine Island Glacier

99.5°W 75.25

Whole sectors of Antarctica $-$ Amundsen Sea Embayment



(Park et al., 2013)

(Rignot et al., 2014)

## **Observations of Grounding Line Retreat-**

How do 'present day' observations of GL retreat compare with historical records?



Estimate of dated grounding line retreat on the Ross ice shelf during the Holocene (Conway et al., 1999).

Estimated by dating shells and diatoms in marine sediment cores and incorporated in raised beaches, radiocarbon dates of algae from ice dammed lakes and using paleoclimate model simulations 

Retreated nearly 1300 kilometres since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) 20,000 years ago

- McMurdo Sound on the Ross Ice Shelf retreat rate  $\sim$ 0.12 km per year over last 7500 years
- Ice Stream C on the Ross ice shelf 0.03 km per year between 1974 and 1984
- neighbouring ice Stream B the retreat over the same time period was larger, at 0.45 km per year

## **Methods for Detecting GL Retreat-**

- Methods broadly fall into 2 categories:
- a) Detecting real tidal displacement of the ice shelf
	- Advantage grounded ice sheet definitely does not move with ocean tides so high confidence measurement
	- Disadvantage tricky to measure, especially if tide amplitude is small
- b) Using the prominent break in surface slope that occurs at the boundary between the flat ice shelves, and topographically heterogeneous grounded ice
	- Advantage prominent feature that is easy to measure with a range of datasets
	- Disadvantage break in surface slope can occur in other locations, for other reasons

## **Methods for Detecting GL Retreat-**

Field measurements  $-$  in situ kinematic GPS, tilt meters, in situ interferometers also exist



in situ kinematic GPS on the Rutford ice stream on the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf (Vaughan, 1995)

tilt meters Doake Ice Rumples (Smith, 1991)

#### **Methods for Detecting GL Retreat-**





#### (1) QDInSAR hinge line (2) Altimetry hinge line





# Methods which use the break in surface slope

#### Detecting Grounding Lines: Optical Shadow



- Break in surface slope at the ice shelf/ice sheet boundary causes shadow
- Shadow can be observed in optical data
- Manual delineation of the shadow boundary can be used as a proxy for grounding line position

### Detecting Grounding Lines: Topographic slope-



## Detecting Grounding Lines: Topographic slope-

#### Topographic slope from CryoSat-2



Break in slope corresponds to grounding line from QDInSAR (red)

## Detecting Grounding Lines: Topographic slope-



- **Good GLL across** many ice streams
- Good GLL product on  $\bullet$ islands
	- CS2 grounding line in areas with no DInSAR

# Methods which detect real tidal displacement

Detecting Grounding Lines: Laser Altimetry-

- Obtain geolocated footprint locations and ocean and load tide corrections from ICESat laser altimetry L2 Antarctic dataset (GLA12 product)
- Filter out cloud affected data, and radiometrically calibrate the return energy and receiver gain using the GLA01 product
- 'Re-tide' dataset using GOT99.2 tidal model correction originally applied to the ICESat data
- Apply saturation correction to elevation data where return energy is greater than receiver gain
- Interpolate each transect of elevation onto a common set of evenly spaced latitude values for each repeated track
- Calculate the mean elevation profile for all repeats
- Calculate the elevation anomaly for each repeat track by differencing the absolute elevation of each track from the mean profile

#### Detecting Grounding Lines: Laser Altimetry-



Absolute ice surface elevation measured along an ICESat track

Elevation anomaly measured along the same track

(Fricker and Padman, 2006).

## Detecting Grounding Lines: Laser Altimetry-



groundingline (blue dot) point of floatation (yellow dot)

(Brunt et al., 2011) (Brunt et al., 2010) (Fricker et al., 2009) 

## Detecting Grounding Lines: DRDOT

#### Differential Range Direction Offset Tracking (DRDOT)



#### Detecting Grounding Lines: DRDOT

#### Differential Range Direction Offset Tracking (DRDOT)

#### 2D Ice speed



Take a time series and calculate difference from mean, high tide amplitude images show deformation caused by tides



#### - SAR Satellites-

 $1990$   $\longrightarrow$  2016

ERS-1 ERS-2 RADARSAT ENV ALOS TSX COSMO TDX S-1a S-1b



- Apply orbital corrections, instrument calibrations and image co-registration to SAR images
- Estimate the Interferometric baseline and perform common band filtering in order to generate a coherent interferogram

#### **Coherence Estimation**



High coherence from 3-day temporal baseline pair

Low coherence from 12-day temporal baseline pair

#### **Coherence Estimation**





**Curved Earth Phase Signal Removal** 



Interferogram of Petermann Glacier with phase signal containing flat Earth, topographic, surface deformation and residual noise components



Interferogram once flat Earth phase signal has been removed.

#### **Topographic Phase Signal Removal**

Topographic phase signal is simulated from an auxiliary Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and the SAR imaging geometry, and then is subtracted from the interferogram forming a differential interferogram (DInSAR).





Simulated topographic phase signal from the ASTER DEM

Interferogram with phase signal containing only the surface deformation and residual noise components

#### **QDInSAR Formation**

- Surface deformation is caused by ice flow and, in floating sections, by ocean tides.
- Combine interferogram pairs to remove the common phase signal caused by constant ice flow, forming a quadruple difference interferogram (QDInSAR)

#### **Picking the Grounding Line from a QDInSAR Image**



- Quadruple difference interferometric fringe pattern across the grounding zone
- Profile of differential vertical displacement (black) extracted along a transect (white)
- The grounding line location (green) is identified as the inland limit of tidal flexure
- The QDInSAR image now contains the differential phase signal which on floating ice streams is largely caused by tidal motion, enabling the grounding line to be determined.

#### **Grounding Zone Width**



- The width of the grounding zone measured from the QDInSAR images, shown relative to the modelled differential tide and the relative displacement of the floating ice shelf measured in an unwrapped QDInSAR image.
- GZ width  $=$   $\sim$ 4km
- Width doesn't vary too much with tide amplitude, although

## Detecting Grounding Lines: QDInSAR Grounding Zone Width



a) The original QDInSAR image, and the QDInSAR image with the phase signal multiplied by 2 (b), 3 (c) and 4 (c) times the original value.

#### **Phase Unwrapping – converting phase to absolute measure of deformation**

The QDInSAR image can be unwrapping to retrieve the absolute phase difference



- Relative vertical displacement along a flow-line profile of a grounding zone Measured using 17 quadruple difference interferograms
- 0 and 8 kilometres: no vertical deformation = section of the glacier is grounded on bedrock
- 8 kilometres and farther seaward: up to 1.5 metres of relative deformation recorded  $=$  section of the glacier is influenced by the ocean tide and therefore floating

#### **Phase Unwrapping**





- fjord (red), and to the North (blue) and South (green) of the fjord in Nares Strait
- AODTM-5 Arctic tide model. Each line shows tidal amplitude at the time of an ERS SAR acquisition
- The AODTM-5 tide model domain begins 71 km from the grounding line.



• Comparison between ocean tidal amplitude differences, as determined from the AODTM-5 Arctic tide model, and relative vertical displacement of the Petermann Glacier ice shelf, as determined from quadruple difference interferometry (black points).

#### **Grounding Line Change / Migration**



- Petermann Glacier grounding line measured between 1992 and 2011
- Each coloured line represents a grounding line produced from quadruple difference interferometry at distinct time periods
- Mean grounding line migration is 470 m
- Up to 7km motion on most variable section of the grounding zone
- But observed motion clearly not correlated with time..
- So what are the processes causing grounding line migration?

## Detecting Grounding Lines: QDInSAR Possible drivers of grounding line migration:



GL migration due to change in ocean tide amplitude

GL migration due to change in ice thickness

Does this influence grounding line location on long timescales only, or could it influence short tem change too?

Detecting Grounding Lines: QDInSAR Possible drivers of grounding line migration:



Normalised distribution of observed (red) changes in Peterman Glacier grounding line position and of the simulated change due to fluctuations in ocean tide (blue) and thickness anomaly (grey).



Measurements of the grounding line position made by QDInSAR between 1992 and 2009 (NASA MEASURES, Rignot et al., 2011)

## **Sentinel-1 - The Next Generation of InSAR**

- Sentinel-1 launched 3rd April 2014
- First satellite in the Europe's Copernicus programme
- C-band SAR sensor, all year, day/night, all weather monitoring capability
- 12 day repeat period and large swath provided for the first time the opportunity to routinely monitor ice speed in near real time
- Changes in ice flow
	- Changes can be temporary/routine  $$ tidally induced, seasonal
	- Or permanent/long term  $-$  dynamic instability
	- Both important measure of sea level contribution from ice sheets to ocean
- Sentinel1-b launched  $25<sup>th</sup>$  April 2016 pair combined will provide 6-day repeat coverage



## **Sentinel-1 - The Next Generation of InSAR**



More data acquired in a week with S-1 than by years of ERS operation!

6:41 pm

Image Ivandsat age U.S. Geological Survei mage PGC/NASA

### **Sentinel-1 - The Next Generation of InSAR**

There are new challenges associated with TOPS mode interferometry

- Phase discontinuities can occur at the burst boundaries
- Definitely a problem for unwrapping interferograms to produce DEM or ice speed
	- May not be a large problem for grounding lines as doesn't appear to alter location of GZ fringes



## **Sentinel-1 - The Next Generation of InSAR -**



#### **SAR Applications: Calving Front Location-**



CFL's observed to change over long time scales and seasonally

#### CFL's Useful for:

- Ice dynamic modelling
- Glacier area change

CFL's not limited to being produced from SAR data only, but is the best year round sensor

#### Data available from projects like Greenland Ice Sheet CCI



ice sheets greenland

#### **SAR Applications: Iceberg Tracking -**



Iceberg locations tracked by a lots of sensors: ERS **QSCAT OSCAT** ASCAT **NSCAT SASS** 

Locations available to download online: e.g. Antarctic iceberg tracking database

#### **SAR Applications: Iceberg Tracking-**



- Ice shelves calve icebergs
- Risk for ships and other infrastructure
- CryoSat-2 altimeter data used to measure ice freeboard
- Operational service for marine vessels and research stations



#### **SAR Applications: Iceberg Tracking-**



## **SAR Applications: Hydrology**





5 km

 $2.5$ 

#### **Summary Summary**

(1) Grounding lines are important glaciological parameter

- Observations help us understand processes causing present day ice sheet change
- And, by modelling observed rates of grounding line retreat it will help us predict future change and associated sea level rise contribution with more confidence
- (2) To date, QDInSAR is the best technique for precisely monitoring grounding line position – but it does have limitations, e.g. historically sparse spatial and temporal coverage
	- we should continue to develop new techniques and methods to exploit all EO data archives
	- New satellites such as S-1provide great opportunity measuring grounding line more frequently, but scient community must ask space agencies to acquire suitable data

Photo: A. Hogg - D. Vaughan collecting DELORES data, iSTAR traverse Pine Island Glacier 2013/14

#### **Summary Summary**

- (3) Dramatic long term (> annual/decadal) grounding line retreat has been observed in the Amundsen Sea sector over the last 25 years
- (4) Short term (< annual) grounding line variability is still largely unknown as observations have been lacking
- (5) Only the most sophisticated ice sheet models can accurately reproduce observed grounding line retreat.
	- Initiatives like ISMIP6 are a great step forward for the next IPPC report
- (6) SAR data is great
	- there are many other useful applications!!

Photo: A. Hogg – D. Vaughan collecting DELORES data, iSTAR traverse Pine Island Glacier 2013/14